Date: May 22, 2007

TO: Commissioners
   Atlantic Compact Commission

Subject: Use of the Barnwell Site by Atlantic Generators after 2008

Dear Commissioners:

I write to provide some clarification related to the framework for continued access to the Barnwell site after June 2008 by waste generators in the Atlantic Compact region, and to seek your guidance on issues related to the economic viability of the disposal site.

We need all stakeholders to work together to ensure that revenues received at the disposal site cover costs incurred at the disposal site. If the site is to remain open, it is imperative that revenues cover costs. We appreciate the Commission’s efforts to make the Barnwell site economically viable so that we may continue providing safe, reliable and economical disposal of radioactive waste generated within our three-state region.

South Carolina, as host state for the disposal facility, is required to assess disposal fees and surcharges sufficient to cover all costs of disposal operations. Additionally, at the request of the State Budget and Control Board, the disposal site operator is preparing plans to reduce operating costs as much as possible after June 2008. We plan to consult with the Compact Commission, regional waste generators, and the disposal site operator on fee structures that will meet the objective of covering costs of disposal operations, consistent with the provisions of Federal and State law. We would also welcome proposals from the waste generators themselves on ways to meet operating revenue requirements in an equitable manner.
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We were recently informed that some of the utilities within the Atlantic
If would be most helpful to understand the position of the Atlantic Compact on these issues and any suggestions you might have for us on how to proceed.

We are fully informed about the situation.

We have also been in contact with your colleagues in our efforts to find a solution.

We would appreciate your help in ensuring that all waste is properly disposed of.

We are concerned that some waste generated in the Atlantic Compact Region is not being disposed of properly.

Otherwise, the disposal facility would operate at a deficit and have to shut down.

Article 12(1)

"Expenditure is approved by the Commission and the host shall:

* Sale shall be expressed to facilities outside the region unless such regional facilities are available, in which case the host shall offer facilities within the region to the extent otherwise provided by the Commission. To the extent necessary, the cost of the host shall be limited to the extent otherwise provided by the Commission."

Among several provisions in the law, one states:

Regardless of the key statutory provisions in the Atlantic Compact Law, the Atlantic Compact Authority in its economic viability of the regional disposal operation costs of the regional disposal site, the Atlantic Compact Law gives the commission broad authority to ensure the economic viability of the regional disposal operation costs of the regional disposal site.
Chapter 3:
The promulgation of a regulation as those terms are specially used in Title 1,
the approval of disposal fees pursuant to this Chapter is subject to a regulation not
radioactive waste disposed any Regional Disposal Facility located within the State.
Section 48-46-40. (4) The Board shall approve disposal fees for low-level

DISPOSAL FEE AUTHORIZATION
4. The Regional Authority shall conduct a pilot program to determine the ability of the Regional Authority to implement the Regional Authority's policies and procedures in a manner that is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Regional Authority as established by the Regional Authority.

ARTICLE 3. FACILITIES

ARTICLE 4. HOST STATE SELECTION AND DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATION OF REGIONAL WASTE FACILITIES

ARTICLE 5. RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

WASHINGTON COMPACT [Note: Also known as "Washington Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Compact" Comprised of the States of Oregon, Washington, and Idaho]

SECTION 4. COMPACT LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE

INTERSTATE COMPACT CONSENT ACT

COMPACT LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE

48-46.70.3 Code of Laws
32:3-1-1 et seq. N.J. Stat. Annnotated
Cite: 46:46a, Title 22A, Secs. 22A-161. et Code of Laws
Public Law 99-240, Title II

EXCEPTANTS PERTAINING TO DISPOSAL FEES AND SURCHARGES
be recovered through the approved fee and surcharge schedules provided for in this section.

opportunity to review and make recommendations on the proposed surcharge. Such surcharge may
shall be reasonable and shall not be imposed unless the Commission has been provided reasonable
for host community development and host community development incentives. Such surcharges